

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/552,414	MIKI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Kuang Y. Lin	<b>Art Unit</b> 1793
<b>All Participants:</b>		<b>Status of Application:</b> <i>amendment after first office action</i>
(1) <u>Kuang Y. Lin.</u>		(3) _____
(2) <u>Mr. Barth.</u>		(4) _____
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>2 July 2008</u>		<b>Time:</b> _____
<b>Type of Interview:</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____		
<b>Part I.</b>		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
<i>claim 1</i>		
Prior art documents discussed:		
<b>Part II.</b>		
<b>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:</b>		
<i>It was agreed that the language of last six lines of claim 1 should be changed to better define the scope of the claim. See examiner's amendment for detail.</i>		
<b>Part III.</b>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		